1		Virginia Workforce Council
2		At the Virginia Housing Development Authority
3		Richmond, Virginia
4		May 9, 2006
5		Minutes
6		
7	I.	Call to Order - Chair Marjorie M. Connelly
8		Chair Connelly called the meeting to order. Chair Connelly
9		introduced Mr. Dreyfus as the Vice Chair of the Council, with
10		thanks for being willing to take on that responsibility.
11		
12	II.	Roll Call – Members Present
13		Marjorie Connelly, Chair
14		Mark Dreyfus, Vice Chair
15		Huey Battle
16		Elwood Boone
17		Delegate Kathy Byron
18		Dr. Glenn DuBois
19		Dolores Esser
20		Richard Gonzalez
21		Secretary Patrick Gottschalk
22		Lovey Hammel
23		James Leaman
24		Guillermo Meneses
25		Robert Myers

1		Hiawatha Nicely
2		Doyle Rasnick
3		Rita Ricks
4		The Honorable Larry Rogers
5		The Honorable Don Sullenberger
6		Secretary Marilyn Tavenner
7		James Underwood
8		
9	III.	Approval of the Minutes – Chair Connelly
10		The minutes of the December 6, 2005 meeting were approved.
1		
12	IV.	Welcome to Daniel LeBlanc
13		Chair Connelly welcomed Daniel LeBlanc in recognition of his new
14		role at the Council. Mr. LeBlanc thanked Chair Connelly for her
15		service to the Commonwealth in the past and also on behalf of the
16		Governor and for continuing on as the Council Chair. Mr. LeBlanc
17		remarked that Chair Connelly had done an outstanding job for the
18		people of the Commonwealth and for the people that were
19		responsible both for the workers and the business community and
20		the general good of the Commonwealth and he stated he was
21		looking forward to working with Chair Connelly over the next four
22		years. Mr. LeBlanc also offered congratulations on Chair
23		Connelly's new job.
24		
25		Mr. LeBlanc remarked on serving on the Council for a number of

years as a member of the Council and chair of various committees and task forces. Mr. LeBlanc stated that the Governor asked him to come forward to be a member of this Council in another way. As a result of the Byron Commission, that a number of the Council participated in, legislation was evolved that this Council supported and it is now as a matter of law that on July 1, there will be a position created in the Governor's immediate staff titled Senior Advisor for Workforce. That position is responsible for trying to collaborate across all the various agencies of state government, at least four cabinet secretariats to put the Workforce package together in a seamless way inside and outside state government and working in partnership with the sixteen Workforce Investment Boards across the Commonwealth. Mr. LeBlanc stressed that this position is important to the workers, the business community and our ability to attract and retain businesses and for the general good.

Mr. LeBlanc thanked Secretary Gottschalk and Secretary Tavenner for their presence and also Secretary of the Commonwealth Kate Hanley and her staff Denise Goode who worked with everyone on their appointments or re-appointments to the Council. Mr. LeBlanc stated that he met with the Governor about his goals for the Council and the Governor has nineteen basic questions of the Council, with the two most important questions being at the end of the day, will we have a system that delivers in a seamless manner the best possible product, the best possible service to the user both on the

1	worker side of the equation and the business side of the equation.
2	
3	Mr. LeBlanc stated that he has spent a lot of time recently with the
4	Governor and that the Governor is committed to help the distressed

areas of the Commonwealth and commented that the Governor will speak on the situation with Ford in Norfolk. Mr. LeBlanc stated

that he was really honored to be able to be there as a part of this

team again and to work for our Governor.

V. Public Comment – Chair Connelly

There were no public comments.

VI. New Member Orientation – Chair Connelly

Chair Connelly explained that the Council is involved in many different issues and there is a lot of activity that the new members may find tricky to sort through because the Council is partly made to fulfill requirements from a federal perspective as well as what is required from a state perspective in addition to accomplishing the right thing to do. Chair Connelly requested that new members not hesitate to ask questions and speak up during or after the meeting. Secondly, Chair Connelly stated that the off-site meeting will probably contain a more in-depth training session for the new members.

25 VII. Workforce Challenge Perspective and Public Policy Response

The presenter stated that unless the skills gap within the United States is closed and employers can find the workers they need and job seekers have the skills to pursue the opportunities that exist then America's economy will remain extremely vulnerable. The stakes are high, freedom of trade and commerce, personal and political liberty and national and individual security. The presenter stated that we have moved from the agricultural age when the value added was physical labor and the industrial age where the value added was transforming natural resources into machines and we are clearly in the knowledge age. And the many challenges that we face as a country because we have vast disparities in the country and in the state in regard to knowledge, particular knowledge on the part of adults in the workforce and knowledge in the pipeline.

A chart from the National Summit on Skills for 21st Century Jobs showed the market difference in terms of what was required in unskilled labor in 1950 it is an almost identical switch to what is now required of skilled labor in 1997 and beyond. Virginia faces unprecedented competitive challenges including advances in technology and critical regional skill disparities. For example taking a look at Danville, which typifies nearly every city and county and region, the majority of people who are working age people over age 25 either do not have a high school diploma or have not finished ninth grade or only have a high school diploma or GED and the minority of people who are working age have more

than a high school diploma. Education level can be a proxy for skill. We face a big challenge for people who are incumbent workers who will be in the workforce for the next 20 years.

Employers have told us what they think the workforce challenges are including the pipeline challenges in terms of math and science competencies and the remediation needed for high school graduates in math and science, retraining of incumbent workers, and transitioning workers in declining industries.

Public policy response at the federal level is important especially today since it is designed to be very flexible so that the states can massage it and use it in a way that accommodates the individual states and regions. This is the Workforce Investment Act (WIA). One of the things is that the public policy response suggests that there has to be systemic response, that our economy can no longer have fragmented responses to the issues we face. This provides opportunities for innovation, partnering in an environment of declining resources, and the leveraging resources. This legislation is very large and does a number of things to give the states the tools to do the system-building, the partnering and the leveraging of resources. This is a major departure in federal workforce policy over the last forty years, devolving the policy and the decision-making to the state and local level with regional labor markets crossing political boundaries requiring tremendous latitude and

flexibility. Montana and Virginia are very different as well as Northern Virginia and Tidewater and Southwest Virginia requiring flexibility and latitude to address the myriad of problems. The vision is much larger than the legislation and is supposed to be a state vision.

WIA does five things. It creates a state workforce development system, which is really a governance, policy and leadership model. It creates a local one-stop service delivery system. It creates three local programs. It amends three other pieces of federal legislation that are related to labor markets and workforce development. And it authorizes five national programs. The intent goes beyond individual programs. A statewide system with a partnership at the state level between the governor and this Council and there is a similar model at the local level. The delivery system that is envisioned by this model really is the cornerstone and a host of partners, which are state and local programs and private sector programs that have to come together to provide an array of service in these centers.

The public policy challenge to the state as this Council deliberates as is deliberated at the local level first is to capture the window of opportunity that is provided by the legislation, which allows for creativity and an employer-led system-building. Providing the state leadership with local flexibility based on partnerships, create an

effective local system and primarily to bring rigor to the terms partner, cross-sharing and access. There are a host of additional challenges in terms of changing the mind-set since the last 40 years in employment training was accomplished with a conglomeration of programs. Every state is trying to build a system, the whole issue of skill standards that employers are looking for, serving special, atrisk populations and making sure the array of services that are provided for the universe of Virginia citizens are provided adequately and effectively for special populations. A chart showed a bunch of parts trying to exist in the universe together but again that is part of the challenge in building the system and trying to make sense out of it all.

In terms of how we started here in Virginia, for about twenty years we studied the issue, we had a number of legislative studies and executive branch studies. We examined the issues critically. In 1998, the legislature set up the State Workforce Training Council to work with the community college system in regard to workforce training. Later in 1998, Governor Gilmore designated responsibility for the WIA under the Secretary of Commerce and Trade and the agency for implementation was the Virginia Employment Commission and later codified by the General Assembly in 1999. The important thing about this decision here in Virginia was that prior to this time for about eighteen years this function had been under Health and Human Resources and a

conscious decision was made to move this function to Commerce and Trade to further promote the alignment with economic development and business. Another conscious decision at that time was to put four cabinet members, now three members, on this Council so that you would have the highest level of functional policy decision-making present on this Council all the time. The state began implementation of the Workforce Investment Act in 2000. This Council developed its first strategic plan in 2002. And as Mr. LeBlanc mentioned that under Delegate Byron's leadership last year the General Assembly formed a sub-committee to look at this whole issue of workforce coordination, collaboration and consolidation and there was legislation introduced by Delegate Byron and Senator Ruff in the previous General Assembly in January and that legislation passed and has been signed by the Governor, which will lead to another major phase of workforce development policy here in Virginia.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

The whole notion of this model is for it to be business-led. Again, because the private sector is the prime mover in the labor market. That is where the majority of the jobs are. So the business-led Council will work in partnership with public policy to address this issue. There are several duties including provide policy direction to the local workforce investment boards in building the one-stop service delivery system since that is where the service to the customer occurs, skills forecasting and advising the Governor on

workforce policy.

Under the broad direction of the state, a strategic partnership between the local elected officials and local Workforce Investment Boards (WIBs) in seventeen workforce areas, very similar to the partnership at the state level between the Governor and this Council is envisioned. The key things that the local WIBs do is to strategically plan and align resources to ensure the economic prosperity of that region and to develop the one-stop delivery system, the cornerstone of the legislation, meant to simplify and to expand access to services and to have a seamless service delivery system. Partnerships, leveraging resources, and sharing resources are critical to the system. Services cannot possibly be delivered as they were twenty and thirty years ago.

There are five one-stop elements that are important to understand. The one-stop system that the public officials at the local level in the WIBs build, the partners, the programs, the actual physical locations and the services that are offered in those centers. A vision of what it looks like shows a host of programs and the resources come together in this one place, either physically or electronically, to provide a seamless array of services for Virginia citizens. We have seventeen Workforce Investment Areas around the state. We have 37 of what we call comprehensive centers. We also have 30 satellite centers and 15 informational centers, which make up about

82 different locations around the state offering a vast array of services. As David Sampson said, we have a bright future if we can develop a well thought out strategy to transform our workforce and economic development systems into an integrated culture of performance and entrepreneurship.

A portfolio was provided that included the annual conflict of interest and financial statements, travel regulations and the Freedom of Information Act. All business done by this Council is public business and so there are various stipulations that we have to abide by in that regard. Please bring this portfolio to the retreat.

VIII. <u>Travel Regulations – Carol Abbot</u>

All travel expenses must conform to the state regulations, which are extremely specific. Travel accounts are open for public review and we must be able to sustain any public review and to make sure that any reimbursement that we pay any state traveler conforms. You were all mailed a package which has the original forms in it and there are also copies here. Ms. Abbot went over the handouts so that you'll understand what each of these forms are for. Included were the current meal and incidental rate tables for overnight stays showing the standard hotel rate and also specific cities and those specific rates to those cities. Also the table is down at the bottom for the meal and incidental reimbursement and the trick here is on

the day that you are traveling to or coming back from an overnight stay, you can only claim 75% of the meal rate. Also, if at any of these conferences a specific meal is provided for you as part of this conference, you need to deduct that amount from the total meal and incidental reimbursement. Each meal is broken out for each of the areas for you.

There is also a direct deposit enrollment form. It was mandated that all state travel for more than twice in a fiscal year be paid by direct deposit. So you will all need to enroll in that and send the forms to our WIA unit who will forward them to me and I will forward them to the state treasury for you. All the reimbursements will be done by direct deposit and once you are set up there is another handout there for Ready Virginia the state system where you will be able to track your own reimbursements since you will not get a direct deposit stub from Treasury. So first, once you're set up, you're going to see a penny deposited into your bank account from the Commonwealth. That's when you know Treasury has activated your direct deposit. Once you have done that, if you will follow the instructions on the handout for Ready Virginia you will be able to track all your reimbursements as we make them. Members who have already done that do not have to do it again.

A state travel reimbursement voucher is included and is the form that must be used for every reimbursement claim that is sent in. Fill it out with your name, address, Social Security number and be sure to sign it and date it, the auditors look for the date you signed it. Once you do that, you must include all original receipts for hotels or for any expense that is over \$10. So if you park in a parking lot in downtown Richmond and it is \$12, you must submit a receipt. If it is \$9, you do not need one. Current mileage reimbursement is at 32 ½ cents a mile, if it changes I will inform the the WIA unit and they will let you know. A good thing to remember is that the difference between the state rate and the Internal Revenue Service rate for your personal income taxes you can deduct. Travel reimbursements should be submitted monthly. There are deadlines for the state and for the federal that are not the same. Send completed travel vouchers to the WIA unit, they will approve them, send them to my staff where they will go through the final approval process. It typically takes five days and the reimbursement will be in your bank account from the time we get it.

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

IX. <u>Virginia Workforce Council History – Chair Connelly</u>

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

We've been organizing ourselves for the last couple of years around five broad strategic objectives. Those broad objectives were: 1) to increase the integration and alignment of the various parts of the system. In a nutshell it was to try to create a system having defined interactions with some synergies, clear roles, responsibilities, etc. Chair Connelly referred to a document that showed the Fiscal 2004

year Virginia Workforce System. The first year we focused a bit more at the state level and the second year a little bit more about what was going on within specific regions or the local system. 2) Improve the delivery of service at the local level. The predominant service delivery structure is the one-stop, the governor of those onestops is our local WIBs. So most of the activity in this area was how can we help the one-stops and the WIBs do their jobs better. 3) Increase the awareness and confidence in the system as a whole. There was very little awareness that WIA happened and the new structure with WIBs and one-stops existed. Businesses and potential workers when they had a need did not necessarily think of this as the place to get the need met. 4) Create consistent metrics to measure and reward performance in progress. It helps in assessments to have some consistency of those metrics and use them to set objectives and incentives for people in the system to move toward them. 5) To develop the capabilities of the individual key players that are a part of the system. We recognize that how well a one-stop is run depends on how good the head of that onestop is. Similarly for Council members, our ability to provide good strategic ideas is somewhat dependent upon our knowledge of the system. We have also invested in efforts to build up individual competencies of people who play a key role, whether a volunteer or paid role.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Chair Connelly mentioned several accomplishments to give an idea

of what the Council has done in different areas. As an example, a lot of effort was made in the integration and alignment arena to get workforce development and economic development to engage with each other to a much greater degree at the local level. Chair Connelly directed the members to a booklet that the Council produced. Another member discussed the contents of the booklet as being demographics across Virginia when it comes to workplace and lays out a blueprint for Virginia's workforce needs by the year 2012.

Another member made a comment on a collaborative effort on workforce economic development. In Area 2 this past quarter, the local WIB along with the economic developers and the community college system provided a workforce fair. At one of the locations, Wytheville Community College, there were over 2,000 applicants that showed up for this job fair. It was so successful that they had to extend it into a third day. The Department of Business Assistance and economic development organizations helped with the job fair.

Chair Connelly remarked that the system is not hierarchical but that it depends on local environment strengths and can be customized for the area. This is the ideal but it is very hard to accomplish in practice. Chair Connelly pointed out a couple of charts, one on page 13 showing the type of demand expected in 2012 and what we

think the supply will be and the gap between the two and what will natural forces take care of and what will the Council need to help with. The second chart on page 95 showed more detail on this subject. When it comes to the local excellence arena a lot of what we worked on in this area was to create standards. This was where perhaps the ability to customize was a little too loose. We did develop some baseline standards. Their intention is to be in the realm of better practices, not necessarily best. And performance of WIBs and one-stops is measured against those.

Awareness and Competence – a member mentioned that the marketing effort we did with the company in California did produce a pretty significant increase in awareness of what the one-stops and the workforce delivery system does in terms of recognition.

Although we are not doing that at a statewide level now, we may do more marketing in the future. Some of the regional WIBs are following up on that and doing some additional marketing on their own. Part of the problem as Delegate Byron said very pointedly to this member a couple of years ago are we really sure what we're marketing, and we probably weren't. So we did try to increase the understanding of the points that we were trying to get across and it is improving.

Metrics and Incentives – Chair Connelly discussed on how this was based on how this was done nationally in other states. We looked

for a shorter, simplified version of metrics that we adopted in March of last year. There are metrics that are required by each specific region and by each primary program that we look at and they offer us the opportunity to assess the local progress. But it is hard to use those metrics to determine overall progress. That is the intention of these eight metrics. We are not yet at the point we are able to use them effectively. Gathering the data is proving to be difficult. We think it is the right idea but we clearly have a lot of work to do. Some of the difficulty is at the local level in capturing the data. This leads to some systems projects which are moving and a lot of Council members are quite involved in continuing to push that forward. Our hope is that we will be able to implement what is in essence a customer management system for the one-stops so that they can better serve their customers and also capture that data and then give us that data.

Chair Connelly discussed the incentive awards. This is an area where we under-leverage what we can do. The objective is to award performance and progress of our local WIBs. Part of the issue is how to define that and there is always a hesitancy to be almost too crisp and is that fair. How do you compare somebody in Northern Virginia versus Southwest Virginia if it is metrics that you are using? The other side is totally subjective as to who did a good job. This is an area where the Council can really add some value in terms of helping to define it and also to do some administration on

that front.

Key Player Effectiveness – We have sponsored various conferences and courses for members of the system, which has added to the overall confidence and capabilities there.

Chair Connelly summarized that the Council has been successful at creating some decent incremental improvements and she is proud of the work that Council members have done and pleased with the overall outcomes.

A member noted that he met with the Executive Director's Association, the people that implement the programs at the local levels and the majority of the people that were there wanted to make a contribution to our effort. They had a lot of ideas to share. One of the biggest problems that any system has is communication and trust. The member mentioned that awareness of the system by the partners, the business community, is still a problem. Some businesses remotely understand a little about it but do not know how to access the system. There is always a need for more money, of course, rewards for productivity, but once appropriations are made very little is left. Maybe we can help the local Workforce Investment Boards raise money from their local elected officials to their localities and also through 501(c)3 mechanisms. And then the other piece as we go into the retreat, I would suggest for your

consideration that maybe we invite some of the chairs and executive directors, not all of them because of space consideration, but try to do it by region as we evolve our strategic plan to build on the element of trust but more importantly the element of partnership. In closing the member remarked that the legislation that is going into effect July 1, collaboration across cabinet level, we are in a unique situation because the cabinet members are new to their jobs right now. They are in the process of developing their strategic plan, which many of them include workforce. So, we are positioned to move forward on this collaboration. The legislation permits me through the direction of the Governor to call a cabinet meeting of members of the cabinet that have workforce and to sit down and roll up our sleeves, identify the silos that we have and funding streams and responsibilities and see where we can cross-collaborate or even merge or maybe by merger, eliminate and bring it to one shop so to speak, a one-stop shop in state government. In closing, the member remarked that he spent a lot of time looking on the Internet looking at other state programs, there is not a perfect one out there. They are all fragmented and set up in different models. The member is trying to determine what works well. Tennessee has by legislation similar to what Virginia passed, they created a new cabinet position in charge of labor and economic development and health and human services that deal with workforce and put it into one cabinet level position. In closing, the funding is being cut and rescissions have been made to the WIBs that gives us more limitations and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more responsibility for raising money on the local level. And that cuts discretionary funds, which are fifteen percent of the funds sent down by the federal government. Staff is paid out of those funds, as well as the operation of the Council. This state legislation will enable us to do is work together to better serve the local level.

Another member talked about the possibility of some of the chairs coming to the retreat and mentioned that a lot of meetings rehash the same information. This member suggested taking a trip, which has been effective for house appropriations when making major decisions, and visit some of the areas firsthand and then have discussions. Chair Connelly agreed that firsthand visits offer valuable information to Council members and suggested that members visit their local area and hopes that other meetings will offer the opportunity for site visits.

A discussion was had whereby the 15% dollar amount was determined to be approximately \$5.6 million. Chair Connelly stated that some of this "discretionary money" has been spent with full-time employees. One of the other things the Council does is to look at the money and make recommendations to the Governor on how can we best use that to enhance the workforce system overall. In the past, there has sometimes been seed money, i.e. funds to support the first couple of years in the community college system, different incentives, specific programs such as making grant money

1		available.
2		
3		Another member stated that after July 1, the workforce staff would
4		be separated and work for the workforce Council. Currently the
5		workforce staff works with the VEC and in July there will be a
6		support staff for the Workforce Council probably housed at Old
7		City Hall, the Patrick Henry Building.
8		
9		Chair Connelly stated that the mission is difficult. Even though
10		everyone agrees it is important and there is considerable resource
11		that is applied. Fragmentation, which creates silos and
12		competition/duplication, can be problematic. Also, local delivery
13		systems have no authority between the state environment and the
14		local environment causing problems driving decisions down into
15		changes and actions at the local level. The third reason it is tough is
16		because of the schizophrenia in defining workforce development
17		and the broad range of customers we are trying to serve.
18		
19	X.	Committee Reports – Committee Chairs
20		
21		Executive Committee
22		Chair Connelly stated one of the purposes is to do a detailed review
23		of the use of the 15% discretionary funds. Not every WIB has used
24		up all the money they have been allocated. This is not a concern

during the first couple of years in a startup situation. However,

25

when it persists over many years and some areas have money that is a couple of years old, it is a problem. The question before the Committee is to determine whether that is the best use of funds or whether they should be recaptured and diverted to some other activity or program. Performance of the various WIBs was discussed and a chart was dispersed to the members.

8 XI. Governor Tim Kaine

Governor Kaine commented on the large number of people present to tackle a very challenging, important issue for the Commonwealth. The Governor stated that he was going to offer some of his thoughts and perspectives as we go forward. Particularly as we tackle the task that the legislature has given us of creating a strategic plan for workforce development between now and December 6th. The Governor stated that he knows the Workforce Council has a retreat scheduled in early June and these discussions will create a framework for the retreat and then during the remainder of the year we will work on making that strategic plan happen.

The Governor thanked everyone for being willing to be part of this Council. This is a topic that is tough and diffused, like trying to grab Mercury in your hand. The needs of the regions of the state are different and we've got some good regional diversity among

this Council. We have economic conditions that are the best of times and then challenges as well. The Commonwealth is big and complicated and we are trying to deal with important work and deal with tough challenges. The Governor views this as a heavy lifting appointment, there are some appointments that people enjoy where they do not have to do a lot of heavy lifting. This is a heavy lifting appointment and the Governor stated he appreciates all on the Council for taking on that task and those in the administration who will be supplementing the work of this Council especially his Special Advisor for Workforce Development, Mr. LeBlanc. He has served in the workforce area for a long time and embraced the opportunity with gusto. The Governor looks forward to the partnership with him, the Council and people around the state to ensure the initiatives are as successful as possible. The Governor also thanked Chair Connelly for her service to the Council and to staff members of the various agencies who have been key to this work.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The Governor stated that he was going to offer more observations, questions, challenges along the way toward creating a strategic plan rather than laying out a vision and asking you to march in line. The Governor wanted to offer a little bit about his experience and then some observations and thoughts. In the area of workforce development, the Governor first became interested in the system when he was on City Council and then Mayor of Richmond. The

Governor noticed a phenomenon that maybe isn't entirely uncommon and that is that Richmond is a city with enormous workforce development needs, with an unemployment rate higher than the state's unemployment rate, people who need more skills and yet he noticed that the city's workforce development efforts had moved from pillar to post in the five years before he arrived. It was in Parks and Recreation when he came to the City of Richmond. It had been under the City Manager, it had been under the Economic Development Office, it had been a stand-alone part of city government. He stated he did not really know the reason for it but the fact that it had been in four places in a fairly short period of time told him something, which is that nobody completely felt like they owned it. A lot of people might have wanted to have a piece of it but it did not have a natural fit or there wasn't a natural fit for it in any particular place in city hall. That is a phenomenon that the JLARC study identified that is still a common phenomenon at the state level with our workforce development efforts. During the Governor's time in local government, they eventually moved toward a model where the workforce development effort was taken out of the city hall and was not part of a particular agency and was eventually privatized and away from city hall. That was not the end of the challenge even though it helped the situation. But then as we entered into a new era under the Workforce Investment Act and there were challenges and incentives to try to get people to think regionally and operate not just as 134 stand-alone cities and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

counties. Regionally, there were a lot of challenges in the Richmond area between what we wanted to do in Richmond and what the surrounding cities and counties wanted to do and whether we could, in fact, come up with a regional program that would fairly address the needs of all. There was a lot of concern about that and as of the time the Governor left city hall in 2001, those regional concerns weren't yet completely worked out. The Governor stated that he has some experience in dealing with the structural question of how this can be set up and knowing what some of the structural challenges are within one of the three major metropolitan areas of the state.

When the Governor came to state government as Lieutenant Governor and working with Governor Warner that was the first time he started to work with the Council. Workforce Development is critically important but we ought to be able to do better. A lot of strides were made during the Warner administration. The JLARC recommendations were taken to heart and there have been real strides in trying to tackle them and make the system a little more seamless and the Council has worked on system measures. There was also a hope that maybe there will be a magic solution or a silver bullet and structurally we will be able to get the state's entire workforce development efforts completely pointed in a single direction with everybody pulling the oar in the same way. And the Governor knows that there is a sense that we didn't quite get there

and there is more work to be done. Hence, the legislative initiatives and the interest in continuing to carry that effort forward.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

Shortly after coming to office, the Governor came upon a report, a funding summary prepared by the Council. He stated it is a very, very nice piece of literature but when he opened it up and it showed the system for all the workforce development programs in the state and he saw this array of different color coded programs laid across so many different agencies, the Governor stated he was confused. He recognized that the funding sources are various and come in from very different places and the needs are different and diverse in different parts of the state and yet it struck him that if somebody was wrestling with questions of do I need more skills, more training, where do I go, what does the state do, and got a hold of this and opened it up, the Governor thought this would not be enlightening so much as wow, I still don't know where to start. So this summary from 2004 in a nice illustrated form shows some of what JLARC was talking about. The Governor stated that we have a bit of a challenge in how is it rationalized, how is it drawn together and how is workforce development done in this Commonwealth in the best way to serve people and to make sure that we are using the funds that we have at our disposal the best possible way. The Governor stated that he is thrilled that the legislature is really interested in this topic because he thinks that we are more likely to make advances and structural change, measurable outcomes, a clear direction if there is a clear dialog with the business community and the legislature. The legislation is an effort to continue to focus and distill our effort. And the effort we are undertaking, the state government's economic development plan to be developed by the fall, to have those informed by each other is very important and he is very excited to work with the Council on this.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

The Governor offered a few thoughts about that plan and things that he is excited about and wants to work with the Council on in the plan. The Governor asked what is the bulls-eye, what is it we are trying to hit because he finds that if you do not paint a bulls-eye then you don't know exactly where you are going. A bulls-eye orients your focus and takes you in a particular direction and also helps you become creative in how you use resources. Until you paint the picture of success and where it is you want to go, you really don't know what resources you have. And once you have made that decision, resources, grants, ideas, ways to raise funds or use money that you never thought of come to mind because you are focused on an end result. The most important question in tackling the strategic plan, the work of the Council is what is the bulls-eye we want to hit in workforce development. A bulls-eye should be clear, outcome and success measurable (not effort measurable) and easy to focus on. The number of people served is an effort measure not an outcome measure, that can be an important number to

determine trends but just knowing sheer numbers of people who came through the door and were served is not an outcome measure and so he wants to challenge the Council to make sure that we paint a bulls-eye that is an outcome measure for this system. By one outcome measure, the Governor stated that the unemployment rate shows that Virginia has done quite well in this area since it is one of the lowest in the United States and for large diverse states it is often a point lower than the nearest competitor. That is a positive outcome measure. State unemployment rates are averages and composed of a lot of different regions and we cannot feel satisfied that we are doing what we need to do if our average figures look good but are composed of a lot of regional subgroups and some regions aren't doing so well. The Governor continued to say that the unemployment rate has got to be a key feature to measuring success.

Second, the Governor stated that prevailing wage levels have to be a key feature. In some areas of the state, the unemployment rates are fine but the wage levels aren't what we want to see. Those are clear outcome measures. We do not have in this Council or even in the state complete control over the outcomes but we have a significant degree of influence over the outcomes. And so, these outcome measures should be used as part of the discussion and used to some degree to measure the success of our efforts to take where we are now and set goals and move toward those goals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Another question to ask is what is the function of workforce development. It is basically two-fold. One, our people who need workforce development skills and training, are they able to receive it, do they know where to go, when they go there are the services available for them, do they access those services and find that those services advance their capacity or skill, does that advance in capacity and skill then lead to something positively in the marketplace. Is it a system that serves the needs of workers and people who need more skills? And it's that set of questions that can be measured and that dictate to some degree whether or not we are being successful. Two, another way to look at workforce development success is how it meets the need of employers: Do employers who need more skills among their workforce to take a level of success and raise it to the next level, are they able to find it, do they know where to go, do they find services when they get there, do the services add value and is that added value then translated into an improved bottom line and improved result able to hire more people. The bulls-eye should be looked at in two ways, services to the individual and services to employers.

21

22

23

24

25

The Governor stated that he is focused because of his experience in Richmond on the issues of the Workforce Investment Boards and the one-stops. He thinks that for a worker that needs more skill the first key is do they know where to go to get it, is there a place that they can go where they are aware of where there are resources for them to receive these services. A common point of access is going to be these one-stops that we have in Virginia. And the Workforce Investment Boards that are charged with oversight of these onestops are extremely critical.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

The Governor stated that he has a set of questions about the regional efforts, WIBs and one-stops, that he knows the Council is concerned with as well. 1) In carrying out that double mission, service to individuals and service to employers, what are the measures that these one-stops are responsible for? How do we assess their performance? Once the measures are decided on, what are the targets that they have to hit? We need to have very clear expectations about that. 2) What are the consequences? What are the upside and downside consequences of reaching those targets and achieving the goals and objectives? You can have consequences as simple as publishing them to show who is meeting goals and who isn't. Putting out knowledge is a consequence. But there can be other consequences as well, funding decisions, resource utilization and manpower. What are the measures, targets and consequences in reaching the targets? 3) What are the fiscal controls? We administer sizable sums of money much of those dollars come to us as pass-throughs from federal programs. Our function here is making sure that the dollars are being used in the greatest possible way. We want the largest percentage of every

dollar possible to be going into direct service to employers and employees with an administrative function that is sufficient enough but that is not overly so. This is an incredibly important question.

4) Different models of the one-stops allows you to make some comparisons and then decide which one works the best and steer in that direction. Comparing the success rates, the measures, the consequences of the different models and deciding which are the ones that are getting the job done.

The Governor stated that the individuals who need service are served in a number of ways. They come directly to some state agencies, but maybe for the run of the mill person who is just out there wondering where they can get more skills, these one-stops are absolutely critical to that person. The Governor wants to make sure that the right measures are in place to determine who is doing a good job, are the financial controls sufficient and what are the targets and how we should be moving forward.

The Governor stated that he is interested also in the larger structural question that the strategic plan must address. There is not a magic answer to the structure that fewer is always better, so the Council should be organized internally to best match what the external bulls-eye is. That is why figuring out what the external measure is, is the first thing we have to do. Is this system the most rational way to deliver services in the highest quality way? Structure can be

looked at in different ways such as the state agencies and cabinet secretariats or regionally in a completely different way by looking at where these dollars are allocated or planning districts or broad regions of the state. Should a dollar be spent where the unemployment rate is less than the state average as easily as it is spent in an area where it is greater than the state average. We do not have complete flexibility with these dollars. Many of them are federal dollars that come with their own programs attached but to the extent we do have flexibility in the way we spend money one way to approach the structural question is to use the unemployment data and the wage data to focus on the parts of the state where we feel like we are weakest and try to maximize dollars going to the part of the state where we have the most to do. Where we have the flexibility we should use it in the higher need parts of the state.

The Governor remarked that his comments are not a vision but questions and challenges instead. He feels this is a very important area and is proud of what we are doing in Virginia – unemployment statistics, business friendly legal and regulatory environment, low tax burden. We can be proud of this but none of us have gotten where we are by confusing pride with complacency.

The Governor stated that he is excited to be working with the Council and excited to have Mr. LeBlanc on board and to have Chair Connelly staying in as Chair. We have come a long way

using external indicators and objective measures suggest that. He is convinced we can do so much more. This presentation is very similar to what the Governor has given cabinet and agency heads. Every agency will have very clear measurable outcome goals by July 1st that they would feel comfortable advertising to the world on their own web pages as well as their progress against their goals. The Governor is wrestling with a set of four or five goals himself that are big picture goals for the administration such as the one dealing with the preservation of open space and probably another one dealing with education will be announced later in the week. The Governor believes that Virginia is managed well internally and in the future we will make our greatest strides managing by external results and outcome measures. The Governor challenged the Council to work on the strategic plan and get it done early. He thanked the Council for the opportunity to speak about the importance of this mission and opened up for questions.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A member asked the Governor about federal funding. The Governor replied that there are two issues in the federal funding side. First is the amount of dollars and the second is whether they are block granted or whether they are segregated at all. Just on the amount of dollar side, our congressional delegation fights for every last penny for us to get as much as they can yet the reality is the federal government is in a sizeable deficit position. There are very few areas in the broad human services area that aren't subject to

some significant cut possibilities in future years. None of our plans or strategic planning can assume great growth in federal dollars. If there is growth it should be a pleasant surprise but we should not plan for growth and have an unpleasant surprise when it is not there. The second question deals with the possibility in looking at all the different federal funding sources because the state map is kind of an equivalent of a federal map and there is federal monies coming from a lot of different pots also that flow into this. Would there be a way to put those together and give governors and states more flexibility? The Governor stated that he believes some block granting is not a bad idea because states having flexibility can be very important. Block grants are sometimes an attempt to dress up the fact that dollars are being reduced. There are certain kinds of programs, particularly programs for the most vulnerable populations – the disabled, low-income – that he likes being segregated because that way you know they are not going to be pulled away for a more politically popular use. If the federal government converted every dollar to a block grant approach, the Governor has no doubt that the Council would be able to use those dollars appropriately to meet the needs of Virginians.

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Another member commented to the Governor about the large amount of adult Virginians who do not have a high school diploma. The Governor agreed that this would make a good bulls-eye and the structural question could be who are the hardest folks to serve

1	and what are the ways we could structure what we do to most
2	advance their capacity. The people who are less hard to serve might
3	be able to navigate the system easily and be persistent enough to
4	find the right information.
5	
6	Delegate Byron commented that many of the Council felt that we
7	would need a champion for the workforce issue and to bring reform
8	and she looks forward to working with the Governor and Mr.
9	LeBlanc to bring true reform to workforce training. The Governor
10	stated there may be some things we can do even with a possible
11	pilot project in connection with the Ford plant closing.
12	
13	Mr. LeBlanc stated that when he, Secretary Gottschalk and
14	Commissioner Esser were returning from the Norfolk Union Hall
15	the Governor said that he wanted Plan A and B on his desk within
16	three days. So, this was a beacon for the horizon. Mr. LeBlanc
17	stated that the staff that supports the Workforce Council has
18	evolved the agenda and support documentation and aided and
19	assisted him in providing good concrete answers to the questions
20	that the Governor presented today.
21	
22	The Governor pledged all his efforts to make sure that the outcome
23	is positive for all of Virginia. Chair Connelly thanked the Governor
24	for joining the Council meeting.
25	

XII. Committee Reports – Committee Chairs (continued)

Executive Committee (continued)

The council members will get a copy of the performance reports from the various areas. There are a couple of areas that are underperforming and we are working on helping them to understand why. We have contracted with the Urban Institute to help us do some objective assessments of WIBs and one-stops versus self-reporting. Mr. Dreyfus stated that a status report will be completed by the June meeting with the final report due at the end of the year. The committee worked on defining the workforce system as shown in the presentation. The committee worked on the idea of formalizing professional development for workforce developers and creating a certification, for which an RFP has been done.

Mr. Dreyfus stated that if you deal with employers or employees you are part of the workforce system. A lot of programs deal with lifelong learning and that whole span of educational and training opportunities is part of the system. In the past, we focused on WIA and the chart but there are other areas that we need to look at that are part of that system. On metrics and incentives there are six programs that are going to be part of the initial implementation phase. These programs are job services and WIA Title one for the VEC, the rehab services from VRS, TANIF and VIEW from the Department of Social Services, adult education and literacy from

the Department of Education, and post-secondary career and technical education from the VCCS. There is a state agency task group assisting the Council in planning for implementation. Those members who were at the meeting stood and were recognized. To get these groups to talk to each other there is an MOU between the Secretary of Commerce and Trade, Health and Human Resources and a draft MOU will be presented to the different Secretaries shortly. A meeting will be needed with these Secretaries to discuss the MOU, which is important because state statutes may cause difficulties in sharing information or have other issues, with the idea to create a centralized data repository used for analysis. A dashboard presentation will be made at the June 8th retreat.

Reaching the Hard to Serve

Chair Ricks discussed visiting six one-stops with Delegate Byron and discussed a document which included recommendations on reaching the hard to serve and raised some questions. These people need the Council's assistance even during times of war and when they are working they do not have time to do other things less valuable to society. The members of the committee stood to be recognized. Chair Ricks recommended that this task force continue and thanked the Council for the opportunity. Chair Connelly requested that Chair Ricks communicate any key recommendations to the Council to be presented to the Governor.

Integ	ration	& A	lie	nment

The Committee Chair discussed that many are anticipating a skills
shortage in the future with the graying of the workforce. He stated
there are some challenges confronting employers in the employee
skill sets and their ability to obtain them. This committee looked
forward to 2012. He also stated that he believes the best
information comes from state agencies because of the resources
available. The Chair requested that Don review the document. Don
discussed the unemployment rates in the publication. The Virginia
Workforce Council charged the Blueprint Task Force under Dan
LeBlanc with the task of putting together a Workforce Development
Blueprint for the state. This was a collaborative effort of the
Council, the Economic Development Partnership, community
college system, Department of Labor and Industry, Fletcher
Mangum of Mangum Associates along with the Virginia
Employment Commission. The report provides occupational data
and an executive summary is the first two pages of the document.
The three occupational groups with the largest number of openings
are office and administrative support, sales and food preparation.
The largest occupational gaps tend to be in accounting, computer
education and healthcare related occupations. This report helps
prepare for the future by highlighting demand driven occupations.
The workgroup recommends agency coordination at the regional
level, the development of workforce strategies.

1		Local Excellence
2		Chair Andrea Wooten was not present. Chair Connelly stated that
3		Chair Wooten has been really engaged in ensuring that the system
4		efforts, technology efforts, that are needed both happen and happen
5		with a lot of engagement between the state infrastructure and the
6		local environment users.
7		
8		
9	XIII.	Update on the Career Readiness Certificate – Gloria Westerman
10		
11		Ms. Westerman stated the community college system has been
12		charged by the Virginia Workforce Council to administer the
13		certificate. The Career Readiness Certificate (CRC) is an
14		assessment-based credential that gives employers and career
15		seekers a uniform measure of key workplace skills. Over 300
16		employers use the Work Key system in Virginia or the CRC. CRC
17		partnerships are extremely important and they have grown and have
18		proven to work. They are between businesses, WIBs, one-stops, the
19		Employment Commission, community colleges and other state
20		agencies. Ms. Westerman pointed out the locations of the partners
21		that offer the Work Key system partners on a map.
22		
23		Statewide initiatives that we have started to disseminate the
24		Certificate more throughout the state include some apprenticeship
25		related instruction coordinators who use the assessment as a useful

tool to inform instructors to help apprentices get through related instruction. Since January 2006, the Department of Corrections has given the CRC to 400 inmates and of those 400 most of them are gold and silver. The Virginia Skills Bank is where these skilled Virginia workers are connected to employers and other people within the state. It is a query-able database showing the CRC and the skill levels of Virginia's workers by region and locality. We are in Phase II of the ongoing development of the database and the Council's support is very essential to this program. The website is CRC. Virginia.gov and we are part of a consortium who have full implementation of the CRC in the state, where Virginia is the leader. In further development, we are looking to put up testimonials, return on investment studies to be able to show for employers how this could be used and why it is useful to them. The total number of individuals who took all three assessments for the CRC is 7,794 as of 5-1-06. Ms. Westerman demonstrated the database. Phase II includes developing enhanced security protections for personnel data, improving efficiency and response times (completed), developing the capability for administrators to list job profile information along with desired skill level for each assessment (ongoing), developing capabilities for administrators to be able to query the database by sponsor or company (completed) and developing a link to the web page that provides contact information for each locality (ongoing). We also have completed the mission to research, identify, purchase and configure and host

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		and maintain a database server for this phase and for the future.
2		
3		There will be six VCCS Workforce Development Regions meetings
4		to bring in the experts involved with the CRC and to ask them to
5		come and educate the people in the region but also to help with the
6		business in those regions, focusing on the small businesses since
7		large companies are easy to sell this system to. The three leading
8		employers from every one of those six regions are going to be
9		present at the meetings.
10		
11		A member questioned whether the economic development people
12		are using the CRC as a part of their marketing in their brochures.
13		Ms. Westerman stated that there is an uneven response across the
14		state. In some areas it has been very active and they are very
15		involved and in other areas not so much.
16		
17		Chair Connelly stated that the Council needs to create a self
18		generating network effect and once it starts to happen it will make
19		this valuable. Ms. Westerman stated that other states imitate
20		Virginia's use of the database in addition to trying to purchase the
21		first phase that has been completed on the database.
22		
23	XIV.	Center for Workforce Preparation for the U.S. Chamber of
24		Commerce – Karen Elsney
25		

Ms. Elsney stated that their mission is to focus on workforce and education and the reason that she was there was to discuss the National Work Readiness Credential, which several states are using across the country. This is a national, portable credential to define, measure and certify that job seekers have the necessary skills for entry-level work. Entry-level work is defined as being non-professional and non-managerial. This credential was defined for a very specific audience and not meant for widespread usage. The reason it was developed was for the business community as a result of several studies that were done that showed that finding and retaining entry-level workers was becoming very difficult for employers.

This process began with four states that developed this credential, Florida, New Jersey, New York and Washington, who joined with the National Institute for Literacy. After that Washington D.C., Rhode Island and JA Worldwide (formerly Junior Achievement) also invested monies in this credential. In addition to the investors there is a national set of advisors to inform the business community about it – National Association of Manufacturers, National Governors Association, and Retail Federation Foundation among others. In 2003 research was done and afterwards it was vetted with representatives of business, state and local chambers of commerce and industry associations. As a result a profile was developed.

Ms. Elsney referred the Council to a handout outlining the skills assessed and another handout that shows industry standards by sector. The profile was based on the Equipped for the Future profile, which was a profile of adult basic education used in about 42 states that says new workers should be able to identify and have skills with communication, interpersonal, decision-making and lifelong learning and use those skills to perform specific tasks necessary for success in an entry-level position.

The assessment is on-line and is comprised of four modules – reading, mathematics, situational judgment (employability or soft skills) and the ability to speak and listen in English. Each computer-delivered test is about 30 minutes in length (about 2-2½ hours total if all done at one time) with no special proctors required, and the test can be administered anywhere there is high-speed Internet access. When all four modules are passed within 30 days, the credential is earned and is either a work-ready credential or needs more skill development to become work-ready. It shows where you could use skill development and how to target that development in the public system or a training provider.

Ms. Elsney stated that we have some unique advantages as far as defining a standard of readiness specifically for entry-level work and this fits in very nicely with Work Keys and the Career

Readiness Certificate. This is not viewed as competition because it focuses on a lower level. There are non-high school graduates who may be qualified for entry-level work but who do not have any basis for certification. This is the first rung on the skill assessment ladder and creates a set of tools that employers can choose from as they look to identify the skills necessary for their workforce. Ms. Elsney stated some of the other unique advantages – the first rung, a tool for employers and a tool for accountability for the training providers within the public workforce system. There is no grade level assigned to this system because it is competency based and whether someone came here from another country and left school at the sixth or seventh grade or dropped out in 10th grade, their competencies are being assessed. The reading component is the only module that tests reading and all other parts of the assessment there is an audio component so that mathematics is testing mathematics not the person's ability to read.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Based on the Equipped for the Future Standards it facilitates instruction and learning and also supports the need for ongoing skill development. It draws on performance indicators from the Department of Labor's ONET system and is a good foundation level before people move on to other certifications, including occupational certifications. Ms. Elsney showed a slide illustrating the standards for adult learners.

25

Ms. Elsney stated that this program is unique because it has been developed through a public/private partnership. It was designed by SRI, formerly the Stanford Research Institute, as well as HUMRO, who has done a lot of assessments for the military and the Center for Applied Linguistics at the University of Tennessee at Knoxville. One of the key factors is program accountability and that provides a good fit as programs are working to become more demand driven and employer responsive. This project was started in 2002. Pilot testing was completed in April of 2005 and we have begun the design of the credential delivery system and are now in the process of starting a soft launch of this project in August with a national release in January of 2007.

The soft launch is to work out all the kinks and bugs of technology and because this is one of the first times this type of assessment will be offered in so many different locations. The field tests were carried out in 28 sites in states that were those other than the ones who invested. The analysis from the field tests was just completed and we are finishing the operations. The National Work Readiness Council incorporated in Washington, D.C. will be administering this work readiness credential and is in the process of searching for an Executive Director to be in place by this summer. The Board is comprised of the investing states as well as Junior Achievement and they are setting up the administration and bringing on an assessment vendor, which will be announced next month. The goal is to

finalize the instruments and administrative procedures. More than 1 fifty percent of job growth occurs in small businesses and they can 2 administer the assessment on site. Ms. Elsney stated that she is 3 willing to send any documentation and answer any questions. 4 There is information on the U.S. Chamber's website along with 5 monthly updates on the status. 6 7 Chair Connelly asked Ms. Elsney about the difference between the 8 Career Readiness Certificate and the National Work Readiness 9 10 Credential. Ms. Elsney stated that they are at two different levels. We are talking about much, much lower foundation skills and 11 12 looking at speaking and listening in English and the situational 13 judgment and employability skills. When SRI did the research, they looked at over 60 different assessments for entry-level work 14 with the hope of going out and taking an assessment and combining 15 something already existing but they didn't feel that the population 16 17 that they wanted to target had anything available at that low level. This was developed for people with no high school diplomas and 18 who might not have work history. 19 21

20

22

23

24

25

A member questioned the cost of taking this test. Ms. Elsney stated that it has not been assessed yet but this will be done by the end of June once the assessment vendor is in place. We are looking at it to be an inexpensive cost because it was the public system that put the initial money in to develop this and they realize they are going to be

1		one of the main consumers of this system.
2		
3		Chair Connelly asked how the cut scores were figured out and what
4		the resulting percentage of passes was. Ms. Elsney said the cut
5		scores were determined by focus groups with supervisors of current
6		entry level employees. The business community that sat down
7		through a two-day process and went through the tests themselves
8		and determined what they wanted the cut scores to be. Right now
9		the pass rate would be about one in four. Employers told us their
10		current hires were about one in eight. The people who did the pilot
11		test were those who had been in entry-level work for six months or
12		less or who are currently enrolled in a training program.
13		
14		A member asked about comparing to existing assessments. Ms.
15		Elsney said she would provide a list of research that was done in
16		terms of comparison to existing assessments. The states that
17		invested in it felt that there was a need to develop a new test.
18		
19	XV.	Council Member Comments
20		
21		The staff passed out financial reports that the local WIBs are going
22		to be putting together. There was a state audit done in Iowa that
23		uncovered some high salaries that were paid to some people that

Consequently, federal investigators are launching what they

were getting WIA funding and that has risen to the national level.

24

consider an investigation of every single WIB in the United States. They are asking for salaries, bonuses and benefits paid to the directors and board members at the 600 local WIBs. In the past we have done this in an aggregated format, but now the federal government is asking for detailed information. This information will have to be provided to this Council or to a committee of this Council and will be ready for any investigation that is done in the state. Chair Connelly asked that in the standards/guidelines that were developed for WIBs whether the fiduciary responsibility was clearly spelled out. The staff stated that she believed so. Mr. LeBlanc stated that in Iowa there was a \$330,000 COLA adjustment for a provider of services to the local WIB. Senator Harkin of Iowa introduced an amendment to the budget that no one would be paid that works in the system more than \$165,000 per year, level two federal executive standing.

Chair Connelly discussed the June retreat on June 7th and 8th in Virginia Beach. It starts with additional orientation for new members or old members that need a tune up. Dinner and a little bit of socializing and start the retreat that evening. The next morning we will continue and have the retreat go through noon. And then maybe another business meeting if necessary. Chair Connelly requested that any input the members have about the off-site be given by the end of the week.

Chair Connelly stated that we want to work on a potential framework and support the Governor's agenda and suggested a slightly different committee structure. Instead of the current committees that we have, we're suggesting that we define four. The first one would be how do you make the system more effective – improve overall capacity and capabilities of the system. The second would be focused more on the local delivery system – how do we improve the performance of the local delivery environment. The third would be performance and accountability – how do we measure specific WIB performance and how do we tie that together with a system of rewards and accountabilities. The fourth would be about skills – how do we focus on specific segments of workers or potential workers and are there programs that need to be designed at the state level that would enhance their ability to acquire skills, are there any places where we know there are going to be gaps and are there statewide programs that could be developed and put in place that could help that.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A member asked the numbers of individual training accounts that regional WIBs would award and whether that information would be available at the retreat. Staff stated that the information that they had would be brought to the retreat. Chair Connelly stated that we only have access to a part of what each individual WIB actually ends up with so we can track the WIA money and a few other pieces, but there are some other things that WIBs do where we

1	cannot get a very comprehensive point of view.
2	
3	Chair Connelly stated that everyone will get a copy of the last
4	annual report and pointed out an item of monies spent per person
5	served. And she requested that the JLARC report or a summary be
6	sent also.
7	
8	A member stated that by statute the Governor is required to produce
9	his strategic plan for economic development within one year of
10	taking office and it is very likely that one significant portion will be
11	addressing workforce. This is a dovetail opportunity for the
12	Council. He also stated that the Secretary of Commerce and Trade
13	is very focused on the workforce issue and will be part of the
14	strategic plan and he looks forward to the dialog and input of the
15	Council to meet those workforce needs over the next four years.
16	
17	Chair Connelly adjourned the meeting.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	CERTIFICATE OF THE COURT REPORTER
14	
15	I, Medford W. Howard, Registered Professional Reporter
16	and Notary Public for the State of Virginia at large, do hereby certify that I
17	was the court reporter who transcribed the proceedings of the Virginia
18	Workforce Council meeting held on Tuesday, May 9, 2006 at The
19	Virginia Housing Development Authority in Richmond, Virginia.
20	I further certify this is a true and accurate transcript to the
21	best of my ability to hear and understand the tapes.
22	Given under my hand thisth day of May, 2006.
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	Medford W. Howard
4	Registered Professional Reporter
5	Notary Public for the State of Virginia at Large
6	
7	
8	
9	My Commission Expires: October 31, 2006.